

Holomorphic almost periodic functions in tube domains and their amoebas ^{*}

Favorov S.Ju.

Abstract

We extend the notion of amoeba to holomorphic almost periodic functions in tube domains. In this setting, the order of a function in a connected component of the complement to its amoeba is just the mean motion of this function. We also find a correlation between the orders in different components.

Keywords: Almost periodic function, amoeba, zero set, mean motion, exponential sum

The notion of amoebas for algebraic varieties was introduced as auxiliary tools for studying their topological properties. Then amoebas were studied in various areas of mathematics (algebraic geometry, topology, combinatorics). Here we extend this notion to the zero sets of exponential polynomials and, more generally, to the zero sets of their uniform limits, so-called holomorphic almost periodic functions. Note that the construction of amoebas for exponential polynomials is simpler (and more natural) than for usual ones. Moreover, it turns out that some notions connected with the amoebas coincide in our case with classical notions from the theory of almost periodic functions. Hence we believe that our investigation will be useful both in the theory of holomorphic almost periodic functions and in the theory of amoebas.

Let $L(w)$ be a Laurent polynomial of $w = (w_1, \dots, w_p) \in \mathbb{C}^p$, i.e., a finite sum

$$L(w) = \sum c_m w^m, \quad \text{where } m = (m_1, \dots, m_p) \in \mathbb{Z}^p, \quad w^m = w_1^{m_1} \dots w_p^{m_p}. \quad (1)$$

The *amoeba* A_L of the polynomial L is the image of its zero set under the map $\alpha : (w_1, \dots, w_p) \mapsto (\log |w_1|, \dots, \log |w_p|)$ (see [6]). Connected components of the complement to the amoeba A_L were studied in [6], [5], [4], [16]. In particular, in the paper

^{*}This research was supported by INTAS-99-00089 project

[4] the authors gave an estimate for the number of these components and introduced the notion of *order* $\nu^k = (\nu_1^k, \dots, \nu_p^k)$ of the component D_k by the formula

$$\nu_j^k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta_{C_j} \text{Arg} L(w), \quad j = 1, \dots, p, \quad (2)$$

where Δ_{C_j} means the increment over the circle

$$C_j = \{w = (w_1^0, \dots, w_{j-1}^0, e^{i\varphi} w_j^0, w_{j+1}^0, \dots, w_p^0) : 0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi\}$$

with $w^0 = (w_1^0, \dots, w_p^0) \in \alpha^{-1}(D_k)$. It is easy to see that the numbers ν_j^k are integers for all $j = 1, \dots, p$ and do not depend on $w^0 \in \alpha^{-1}(D_k)$.

Observe that after the substitution $w_j = e^{iz_j}$, $j = 1, \dots, p$, the sum (1) takes the form

$$P(z) = \sum c_\lambda \exp\{i\langle z, \lambda \rangle\}, \quad (3)$$

with $\lambda = m \in \mathbb{Z}^p$; now D_k is a connected component of the set

$$\{y \in \mathbb{R}^p : P(x - iy) \neq 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^p\}$$

and formula (2) takes the form

$$\nu_j^k = \frac{1}{2\pi} \Delta_{|x_j| \leq \pi} \text{Arg} P(x + iy), \quad (4)$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_p)$ and $-y \in D_k$.

Now remove the condition $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}$ and consider the sum (3) with arbitrary $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^p$. More generally, let $T_\Omega = \{z = x + iy : x \in \mathbb{R}^p, y \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^p\}$ be a tube domain in $\mathbb{C}^p$ with the base Ω . Consider the class of functions $f(z)$ on T_Ω that are approximated by sums (3) with respect to the topology $\tau(T_\Omega)$ of uniform convergence on any domain T_D with $D \subset \subset \Omega$. This class coincides with the class $AP(T_\Omega)$ of holomorphic functions $f(z)$ in T_Ω such that the family $\{f(z+t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}^p}$ is a relatively compact set in the topology $\tau(T_\Omega)$ ¹. Such functions are called *holomorphic almost periodic on tube domains*. We shall say that the *amoeba* A_f of $f \in AP(T_\Omega)$ is the closure of the projection of the zero set of f to Ω . Note that the connected components of the complement to the amoeba A_f are the bases $D_k \subset \Omega$ of the maximal tube domains $T_{D_k} \subset T_\Omega$ without zeros of f ; every domain of this type is convex (see the book [8], p.65). Now the function $f(x + iy)$ is not periodic in each variable $x_1, \dots, x_p$, therefore we have to change the averages over $[0, 2\pi]$ of the increments in (4) to their averages over $[0, \infty]$. In other words, define the order $\nu^k = (\nu_1^k, \dots, \nu_p^k)$ of any connected component D_k of $\Omega \setminus A_f$ for $f \in AP(T_\Omega)$ by the formula

$$\nu_j^k = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \Delta_{|x_j| \leq T} \text{Arg} f(x + iy), \quad y \in D_k, \quad j = 1, \dots, p.$$

(for simplicity we change $-D_k$ to D_k).

¹It is easy to see that all sums (3) and their limits in the topology $\tau(T_\Omega)$ belong to $AP(T_\Omega)$; for the converse assertion, see the Bochner–Fejer Theorem below.

Holomorphic almost periodic functions in tube domains and their zero sets were a subject of intensive study (see [3], [10] – [15]). In particular, the notion of the Jessen function of an analytic almost periodic function in a strip was extended to holomorphic almost periodic functions in tube domains. Namely, it was proved in [10] that the limit

$$J_f(y) = \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{2s} \right)^p \int_{|x_j| < s, j=1, \dots, p} \log |f(x + iy)| dx_1 \dots dx_p \quad (5)$$

exists for all $y \in D$ and $J_f(y)$ is a convex function; a relation between $J_f(y)$ and the zero sets of f was described, too. In the paper [13] it was proved that the function $J_f(y)$ is linear on any domain $D \subset\subset \Omega$ iff $f(z) \neq 0$ on T_D . Moreover, in this domain the function f has the form

$$f(z) = \exp\{g(z) + i\langle z, c(D) \rangle\}, \quad g \in AP(T_D), \quad c(D) \in \mathbb{R}^p \quad (6)$$

(for $p = 1$ see [9], p.188, for $p > 1$ see [13] and the Theorem below). Note that the function $g(z)$ is uniformly bounded on $T(D')$ for every $D' \subset\subset D$, therefore we have

$$\nu^k = c(D_k) = -\text{grad} J_f(y), \quad y \in D_k, \quad (7)$$

for any connected component D_k of the set $\Omega \setminus A_f$. The vector $c(D_k)$ is called the *mean motion* of f in the domain D_k .

Now recall that the *spectrum* of $f \in AP(T_\Omega)$ is the set

$$\text{spf} = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^p : \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} (2s)^{-p} \int_{|x_j| < s, j=1, \dots, p} e^{-i\langle z, \lambda \rangle} f(x + iy) dx \neq 0\},$$

the spectrum is at most countable. Also, denote by $G(f)$ the minimal additive subgroup of $\mathbb{R}^p$ containing spf , i.e., the set of all linear combinations of the elements of spf with integer coefficients.

The structure of amoebas for holomorphic almost periodic functions is very complicated. For example, a sequence of different connected components of $\Omega \setminus A_f$ can be condensed to an inner point of Ω . The problem of describing the mean motions for almost periodic functions is very complicated, too. In the case $p = 1$, a complete solution was given in the paper [9], p. 229-259:

Theorem JT. *For a convex function $J(y)$ on (a, b) to be the Jessen function of some $f \in AP(T_{(a,b)})$ with the spectrum in an additive countable subgroup $G \subset \mathbb{R}$, it is sufficient and necessary that the following conditions be fulfilled:*

- i) *for y belonging to any interval of linearity of J , $J'(y) \in G$,*
- ii) *for any $(\alpha, \beta) \subset\subset (a, b)$ there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $K < \infty$, and numbers $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^k \in G$, linearly independent over $\mathbb{Z}$, such that for arbitrary intervals of linearity $I_0, I_1 \subset (\alpha, \beta)$, and points $y^0 \in I_0$, $y^1 \in I_1$, one has*

$$J'(y^1) - J'(y^0) = \sum_{j=1}^k r_j \lambda^j, \quad r = (r_1, \dots, r_k) \in \mathbb{Q}^k \quad (8)$$

and

$$\|r\| \leq K|J'(y^1) - J'(y^0)|,$$

iii) if the group G has a basis, i.e., a generating set of elements linearly independent over $\mathbb{Z}$, then the vector r in (8) belongs to $\mathbb{Z}^k$ and the number of distinct intervals of linearity intersecting with (α, β) is finite.

For $p > 1$ we know only two results of this kind. Namely, in [13] the piecewise convex functions that are the Jessen functions of holomorphic almost periodic functions were described; further, in [15] it was proved that any holomorphic *periodic* function F of q variables has a locally finite number of connected components of the complement to its amoeba; this property remains true for any almost periodic function which is the restriction of F to a complex p -dimensional ($p < q$) hyperplane.

The aim of our paper is to prove the following result.

Theorem. *For any $f \in AP(T_\Omega)$ the following assertions are true:*

- i) *for every connected component D_0 of $\Omega \setminus A_f$ the representation (6) is valid with $c(D_0) \in G(f)$,*
- ii) *for every convex domain $D \subset\subset \Omega$ there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^k \in G(f)$, linearly independent over $\mathbb{Z}$, such that for any two connected components D_0, D_1 of $D \setminus A_f$,*

$$c(D_1) - c(D_0) = \sum_{j=1}^k r_j \lambda^j, \quad r(D_1, D_0) = (r_1, \dots, r_k) \in \mathbb{Q}^k \quad (9)$$

and

$$\|r(D_1, D_0)\| \leq K\|c(D_1) - c(D_0)\| \quad (10)$$

with a constant $K < \infty$ depending only on f , D and the Lebesgue measure of the projection of the set $D_1 - D_0$ to the unit sphere, i.e., the value $\text{mes}_{p-1}\{(y^1 - y^0)/\|y^1 - y^0\| : y^1 \in D_1, y^0 \in D_0\}$. For a fixed component D_0 , one can take the same constant K in (10) for all components D_1 of $D \setminus A_f$,

- iii) *moreover, if $G(f)$ has a basis, then the vector $r(D_1, D_0)$ in (9) lies in $\mathbb{Z}^k$ and the number of connected components of $D \setminus A_f$ is finite.*

For the reader's convenience, before proving the Theorem we present some known results which will be used in the proof.

1. (The Bochner–Fejer Approximation Theorem). *For every $f \in AP(T_\Omega)$ there exists a sequence of exponential polynomials (3) with $\lambda \in \text{spf}$ converging to f in the topology $\tau(T_\Omega)$ (for $p = 1$, see [2], p. 79, or [9], p. 149; in the case $p > 1$ the proof is similar, see also [15]).*

2. (The Kronecker Theorem). *If the coordinates of a vector $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^p$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Z}$, then for any $a \in \mathbb{R}^p$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}^p$ such that*

$$\|\mu t - a - 2\pi m\| < \varepsilon. \quad (11)$$

(see [1] or [2], p. 147).

3. *Any finitely generated subgroup of $\mathbb{R}^p$ has a finite basis (see [7], p. 47).*

Proof of the Theorem. Let D_0 be a connected component of $\Omega \setminus A_f$ and $D'_0 \subset\subset D_0$. Note that

$$|f(z)| \geq \beta > 0, \quad \forall z \in T_{D'_0}. \quad (12)$$

Really, otherwise there exists a sequence $z^n = x^n + iy^n \in T_{D'_0}$ such that $f(z^n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Using the Bochner–Fejer Theorem and passing to a subsequence, we get that the functions $f(z + x^n)$ converge uniformly in $T(D_0)$ to a function $g(z)$ and y^n converge to a point $y' \in D_0$. It is easy to see that $g(iy') = 0$ and $g(z) \not\equiv 0$. Consequently $f(z + x^n)$ has zeros in the set T_{D_0} for n large enough, which is impossible.

It follows from (12) and the Bochner–Fejer Theorem that there exists an exponential polynomial Q as in (3) such that $\text{sp}Q \subset \text{sp}f$ and

$$|f(z) - Q(z)| < |f(z)|/2, \quad z \in T_{D'_0}. \quad (13)$$

Since $\text{sp}Q$ is finite, we can choose a basis $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^q$ of the group $G(Q)$. Therefore we have

$$Q(z) = \sum a_n \exp\{i \sum_{j=1}^q b_{n,j} \langle z, \lambda^j \rangle\}, \quad a_n \in \mathbb{C}, \quad b_{n,j} \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (14)$$

Let $\overline{Q}(\xi, y)$, $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_q) \in \mathbb{R}^q$, $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$, be the function

$$\overline{Q}(\xi, y) = \sum a_n \exp\{-\sum_{j=1}^q b_{n,j} \langle y, \lambda^j \rangle\} \exp\{i \sum_{j=1}^q b_{n,j} \xi_j\}. \quad (15)$$

Denote by Λ the $q \times p$ -matrix with the rows $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^q$. If $x \in \mathbb{R}^p$ is not orthogonal to any linear combination of the vectors $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^q$ over $\mathbb{Z}$, then the components of the vector $\Lambda x \in \mathbb{R}^q$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Z}$ and, by the Kronecker Theorem, the set

$$\{\Lambda x + 2\pi m : x \in \mathbb{R}^p, m \in \mathbb{Z}^q\}$$

is dense in $\mathbb{R}^q$. Further, the function $\overline{Q}(\xi, y)$ has the period 2π in each of the variables $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_q$. Using (13), (12), and the identity $Q(z) = \overline{Q}(\Lambda x, y)$, we obtain

$$|\overline{Q}(\xi, y)| \geq \beta/2 > 0 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^q, y \in D'_0. \quad (16)$$

Denote by Δ the vector in $\mathbb{R}^q$ whose components Δ_j , $j = 1, \dots, q$, equal the increments of $\text{Arg} \overline{Q}(\xi, y)$ as ξ_j runs over the segment $[0, 2\pi]$, ξ_l fixed for $l \neq j$, $y \in D'_0$. The function $\overline{Q}(\xi, y)$ is periodic, hence $\Delta_j \in 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ for all j . Taking into account the continuity of $\overline{Q}$, we see that these increments depend on neither ξ_l nor $y \in D'_0$. In view of (16), we can define the continuous function

$$\overline{h}(\xi, y) = \log \overline{Q}(\xi, y) - \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^q \Delta_j \xi_j + \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^q \Delta_j \langle y, \lambda^j \rangle.$$

This function has the period 2π in each of the variables ξ_j , hence it is bounded on $\mathbb{R}^q \times D'_0$. It is obvious that for $h(z) = \overline{h}(\Lambda x, y)$ we have

$$\exp h(z) = Q(z) \exp\{-i \langle z, \Lambda' \frac{\Delta}{2\pi} \rangle\}, \quad (17)$$

where Λ' is the transpose of Λ . We see that the function (17) belongs to $AP(T_D)$ and the function $h(z)$ is bounded on $T_{D'_0}$. Now, using the definition of holomorphic almost periodic function, we obtain $h(z) \in AP(T_{D'_0})$. Further, using (13), we get that the function $\hat{h} = \log[f(z)/Q(z)]$ is well-defined, almost periodic and bounded in $T_{D'_0}$. Therefore, we have

$$f(z) = \exp\{\hat{h}(z) + h(z) + i\langle z, \Lambda' \frac{\Delta}{2\pi} \rangle\}. \quad (18)$$

Using (5), (7) for the domain D_0 , and the boundedness of the functions $\hat{h}(z)$ and $h(z)$ in $T_{D'_0}$, we prove assertion i) with $c(D_0) = \Lambda' \Delta / 2\pi$.

To prove ii), first suppose that the convex domain $D' \subset \subset \Omega$ has the property

$$\forall y \in \overline{D'} \quad |f(x^0 + iy)| \geq 5\gamma > 0, \quad (19)$$

with some $x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$; we may assume that $x^0 = 0$. Using the Bochner-Fejer Theorem, we can take an exponential polynomial P as in (3) such that $\text{sp}P \subset \text{sp}f$ and

$$|f(z) - P(z)| < \gamma, \quad \forall z \in T_{D'}. \quad (20)$$

Since $\text{sp}P$ is finite, we can choose a basis $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^k$ of the group $G(P)$. Therefore we have

$$P(z) = \sum a'_n \exp\{i \sum_{j=1}^k b'_{n,j} \langle z, \lambda^j \rangle\}, \quad a'_n \in \mathbb{C}, \quad b'_{n,j} \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence, for any $z \in T_{D'}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^p$ we clearly have

$$|P(z+x) - P(z)| \leq \sum |a'_n| \sup_{y \in \overline{D'}} \exp\{-\sum_{j=1}^k b'_{n,j} \langle y, \lambda^j \rangle\} |1 - \exp\{i \sum_{j=1}^k b'_{n,j} \langle x, \lambda^j \rangle\}|. \quad (21)$$

Let $\tilde{\Lambda}$ be the $k \times p$ -matrix with the rows $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^k$, let $\varepsilon > 0$, and

$$F = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^p : \exists \tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^k \quad \|\tilde{\Lambda}x - 2\pi\tilde{m}\| < 3\varepsilon\}. \quad (22)$$

It follows from (21) that for ε small enough and $x \in F$,

$$|P(z+x) - P(z)| < \gamma. \quad (23)$$

Combining (19) with $x^0 = 0$, (20), and (23), we obtain

$$|f(x+iy)| \geq 2\gamma > 0 \quad \forall x \in F, \quad y \in \overline{D'}. \quad (24)$$

Let D_0, D_1 be any distinct connected components of the set $D' \setminus A_f$, and let $y^0 \in D_0$, $y^1 \in D_1$, $\nu \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $\|\nu\| = 1$ be such that for some $s > 0$

$$y^1 = y^0 + \nu s \quad (25)$$

and, in addition,

$$\langle \lambda, \nu \rangle \neq 0 \quad \forall \lambda \in G(f). \quad (26)$$

As before, we have

$$|f(x + iy^l)| \geq \beta' > 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^p, \quad l = 1, 2. \quad (27)$$

It follows from (12) and the Bochner-Fejer Theorem that there exists an exponential polynomial Q such that $\text{sp}Q \subset \text{sp}f$,

$$|f(z) - Q(z)| < \gamma, \quad z \in T'_D, \quad (28)$$

and the inequality (13) is fulfilled for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $y = y^l$, $l = 1, 2$.

Note that the polynomial Q depends on the domains D_0 , D_1 , while the polynomial P and vectors $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^k$ depend on the domain D' and don't depend on D_0 and D_1 .

Let the dimension of the linear span of $\text{sp}P \cup \text{sp}Q$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ be $q \geq k$ and let $\lambda^{k+1}, \dots, \lambda^q$ be vectors that together with the vectors $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^k$ form a basis of this span. Choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that any element of $\text{sp}Q$ is a linear combination of $\lambda^1/N, \dots, \lambda^k/N, \lambda^{k+1}, \dots, \lambda^q$ over $\mathbb{Z}$. If the group $G(f)$ has a basis, then we can take at first $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^k$, next $\lambda^{k+1}, \dots, \lambda^q$ from this basis and take always $N = 1$.

Let Λ be the $q \times p$ -matrix with the rows $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^q$. It is obvious that $Q(z)$ can be written in the form (14) with $b_{n,j}/N$ instead of $b_{n,j}$. Let $\overline{Q}(\xi, y)$ be defined by formula (15) with the same changes. As above, we have

$$Q(z) = \overline{Q}(\Lambda x, y),$$

but now the function $\overline{Q}(\xi, y)$ has the period $2\pi N$ in each of the variables $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_q$. Using the Kronecker Theorem with $\mu = \Lambda x/N$, $a = \xi/N$, we find that the set

$$\{\Lambda x + 2\pi N m : x \in \mathbb{R}^p, m \in \mathbb{Z}^q\}$$

is dense in $\mathbb{R}^q$. As before, it follows from (27) that

$$|\overline{Q}(\xi, y^l)| \geq \beta'/2 > 0 \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^q, \quad l = 1, 2. \quad (29)$$

Denote by $\text{Pr}[\xi]$ the vector formed by the first k coordinates of $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^q$. Let

$$E = \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^q : \exists m \in \mathbb{Z}^q \quad \|\text{Pr}[\xi - 2\pi m]\| < 3\varepsilon\},$$

where ε is the same as in (22). From the Kronecker Theorem it follows that the set $\{\Lambda x + 2\pi N m : x \in \mathbb{R}^p, m \in \mathbb{Z}^q\}$ is dense in E . Since $F = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^p : \Lambda x \in E\}$, we see that the set $\{\Lambda x + 2\pi N m : x \in F, m \in \mathbb{Z}^q\}$ is dense in E , too. Therefore, taking into account (24) and (28), we obtain

$$|\overline{Q}(\xi, y)| \geq \gamma > 0 \quad \forall \xi \in E, \quad y \in \overline{D'}. \quad (30)$$

Denote by Δ^ρ the vectors in $\mathbb{R}^q$ whose components Δ_j^ρ , $j = 1, \dots, q$, equal the increments of the arguments for the functions $\overline{Q}(\xi, y^\rho)$ while ξ_j run the segment $[0, 2\pi N]$, ξ_l fixed for $l \neq j$, $\rho = 0, 1$. Arguing as above, we define the bounded periodic functions

$$\overline{h}_\rho(\xi) = \log \overline{Q}(\xi, y^\rho) - \frac{i}{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^q \Delta_j^\rho \frac{\xi_j}{N} + \frac{1}{2\pi N} \sum_{j=1}^q \Delta_j^\rho \langle y^\rho, \lambda^j \rangle, \quad \rho = 1, 2.$$

Using (13) with $z = x + iy^\rho$, we obtain that equality (18) is fulfilled with $h(z) = \bar{h}_\rho(\Lambda x)$, $\hat{h}(z) = \log[f(z)/Q(z)]$, and $z = x + iy^\rho$, $\rho = 0, 1$. Thus we have

$$c(D_\rho) = \frac{1}{2\pi N} \Lambda' \Delta^\rho, \quad \rho = 0, 1. \quad (31)$$

Further, by $\delta(\xi^0, \xi^1)$ denote the increment of the argument of the function $\bar{Q}(\xi, y)$ over the boundary of the rectangle $\Pi(\xi^0, \xi^1)$ with vertices at $\xi^0 + iy^1$, $\xi^1 + iy^1$, $\xi^1 + iy^0$, $\xi^0 + iy^0$, which run in the indicated order. It follows from (30) and (29) that $\delta(\xi^1, \xi^2)$ is defined for arbitrary points $\xi^0, \xi^1 \in E$. We obviously have

$$\delta(\xi^0, \xi^2) = \delta(\xi^0, \xi^1) + \delta(\xi^1, \xi^2) \quad \forall \xi^0, \xi^1, \xi^2 \in E. \quad (32)$$

Moreover, it follows from the periodicity of $\bar{Q}(\xi, y^\rho)$ that in order to compute $\delta(0, \xi)$ with $\xi \in 2\pi N\mathbb{Z}^q$ we only need to take into account the increments in the planes $y = y^0$ and $y = y^1$. Hence for every $m = (m_1, \dots, m_q)$ we obtain

$$\delta(0, 2\pi Nm) = \sum_{j=1}^q m_j \Delta_j^1 - \sum_{j=1}^q m_j \Delta_j^0 = \langle m, \Delta^1 - \Delta^0 \rangle. \quad (33)$$

Further, if $\xi' \in \mathbb{R}^q$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}^q$ satisfy $\|\text{Pr}[\xi' - 2\pi m]\| < 3\varepsilon$, then $\xi' \in E$ and the rectangle $\Pi(\xi', 2\pi m)$ can be contracted inside the set $E \times [y^0, y^1]$ to the segment $2\pi m \times [y^0, y^1]$. Therefore, we have

$$\delta(\xi', 2\pi m) = 0. \quad (34)$$

In particular, if $\xi', \xi'' \in E$ and $\text{Pr}[\xi' - \xi''] = 0$, then $\delta(\xi', \xi'') = 0$. Hence $\Delta_j^1 = \Delta_j^0$ for $k < j \leq q$ and

$$\Delta^1 - \Delta^0 = (2\pi d, 0), \quad d \in \mathbb{Z}^k, \quad 0 \in \mathbb{Z}^{q-k}. \quad (35)$$

Moreover, it follows from (15) that the function $Q(\xi + \Lambda\nu u, y^0 + \nu v)$ is analytic with respect to the variable $w = u + iv$. From (25) and (13) it follows that this function has no zeros for $v = 0$ and $v = s$. By the Argument Principle, for each $\xi \in E$ and $u > 0$ such that $\xi + \Lambda\nu u \in E$, we have

$$\delta(\xi, \xi + \Lambda\nu u) \geq 0. \quad (36)$$

Note that the components μ_j of the vector $\tilde{\Lambda}\nu$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{Z}$, hence inequality (11) with $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k)$ has a solution for every $a = (a_1, \dots, a_k) \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. This means that the almost periodic function $Y(t) = e^{i(\mu_1 t - a_1)} + \dots + e^{i(\mu_k t - a_k)}$ takes values arbitrarily close to k . It follows from Bohr's definition of almost periodic functions (see the book [2], p. 14) that for every $\eta > 0$ the inequality $|Y(t) - k| < \eta$ has a solution on every interval of fixed length M . Hence inequality (11) has a positive solution and each point $a \in \mathbb{R}^k$ belongs to the union of the open sets

$$H_l = \{a \in \mathbb{R}^k : \exists t' \in (0, l), \exists \tilde{m} \in \mathbb{Z}^k, \|\tilde{\Lambda}\nu t' - a - 2\pi\tilde{m}\| < \varepsilon\}.$$

Therefore $H_L \supset [0, 2\pi]^k$ for some $L < \infty$ and inequality (11) with $\mu = \tilde{\Lambda}\nu$ has a solution on the segment $[0, L]$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^k$.

Take $t \in (0, L)$ and $\tilde{m}^0 \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ such that

$$\|\tilde{\Lambda}\nu t - 2\varepsilon \frac{d}{\|d\|} - 2\pi\tilde{m}^0\| < \varepsilon. \quad (37)$$

Observe that this inequality implies $\|\tilde{\Lambda}\nu t - 2\pi\tilde{m}^0\| < 3\varepsilon$. It follows from (34) that for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}^q$ and $m^0 = (\tilde{m}^0, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}^q$,

$$\delta(2\pi m + \Lambda\nu t, 2\pi m + 2\pi m^0) = 0. \quad (38)$$

By (36), we have

$$\delta(2\pi m, 2\pi m + \Lambda\nu t) \geq 0. \quad (39)$$

Combining (32), (38), and (39), we get

$$\delta(0, 2\pi N m^0) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} [\delta(2\pi n m^0, 2\pi n m^0 + \Lambda\nu t) + \delta(2\pi n m^0 + \Lambda\nu t, 2\pi(n+1)m^0)] \geq 0. \quad (40)$$

Using (40), (35), and (33), we have

$$2\pi\langle \tilde{m}^0, d \rangle = \langle m^0, \Delta^1 - \Delta^0 \rangle = \delta(0, 2\pi N m^0) \geq 0. \quad (41)$$

Then, by (37) and (41),

$$\langle \tilde{\Lambda}\nu t, d \rangle = \langle \tilde{\Lambda}\nu t - \frac{2\varepsilon d}{\|d\|} - 2\pi\tilde{m}^0, d \rangle + 2\pi\langle \tilde{m}^0, d \rangle + 2\varepsilon\|d\| \geq \varepsilon\|d\|. \quad (42)$$

Taking into account (31) and (35), we obtain

$$c(D_1) - c(D_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi N} \Lambda'(\Delta^1 - \Delta^0) = \tilde{\Lambda}'d/N. \quad (43)$$

Hence we have proved (9) with

$$r(D_1, D_0) = d/N. \quad (44)$$

Combining (42), (43), (44) with the equality $\|\nu\| = 1$, we get

$$\|r(D_1, D_0)\| = \|d\|/N \leq \frac{t}{\varepsilon N} |\langle \tilde{\Lambda}\nu, d \rangle| \leq \frac{L}{\varepsilon N} |\langle \nu, \tilde{\Lambda}'d \rangle| \leq (L/\varepsilon) \|c(D_1) - c(D_0)\|.$$

Thus we have proved assertion ii) for domains $D \subset\subset \Omega$ satisfying (19) and connected components D_0, D_1 of $D \setminus A_f$. Note that k and $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^k$ in (9) depend on D only, and K in (10) depends on D and ν .

Now let $\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \dots$, be a basis of the linear span of spf over $\mathbb{Q}$. It is clear that all λ^j can be taken from $G(f)$. It follows from assertion i) that for each connected component $\tilde{D}$ of $D \setminus A_f$ there exist $k = k(\tilde{D}) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$c(\tilde{D}) = \sum_{j=1}^k r_j \lambda^j, \quad r_j \in \mathbb{Q}, \quad \forall j, \quad r_k \neq 0. \quad (45)$$

Let us show that $k(\tilde{D})$ are uniformly bounded for all $\tilde{D}$. Assume the contrary. Then there exists a sequence of connected components D_n of $D \setminus A_f$ such that $k(D_n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Take $y^n \in D_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$. We may assume that $y^n \rightarrow y' \in \overline{D}$. Since $f(z) \not\equiv 0$ on T_D , we obtain $f(x + iy') \not\equiv 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^p$. Hence we can take x^0 , $\gamma > 0$ and a convex neighborhood D' of y' such that (19) is fulfilled. Then there exists n_0 such that $D_n \cap D' \neq \emptyset$ for all $n \geq n_0$. Using (9) for the domains $D_n \cap D'$, $D_{n_0} \cap D'$, we get

$$c(D_n) - c(D_{n_0}) = \sum_{j=1}^{k'} r_j \lambda^j, \quad (r_1, \dots, r_{k'}) = r(D_n, D_{n_0}) \in \mathbb{Q}^{k'}$$

where λ^j , $j = 1, \dots, k'$ belong to the linear span of spf and the number k' is the same for all n . This contradicts the unboundedness of k in (45).

Now it follows from (45) that (9) is valid for all components D_1, D_0 of $D \setminus A_f$ with k depending on D only.

Furthermore, assume that (10) is false. This means that there exist two sequences $\{D_n\}$, $\{D'_n\}$ of connected components of $D \setminus A_f$ such that

$$\|c(D_n) - c(D'_n)\| / \|r(D_n, D'_n)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad (46)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$\text{mes}_{p-1} \kappa(D_n - D'_n) \geq \beta > 0,$$

where $\kappa(y) = y / \|y\|$. Since (26) is false only for a countable number of hyperplanes in $\mathbb{R}^p$, we see that there exists $\nu \in \bigcap_{l=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=l}^{\infty} \kappa(D_n - D'_n)$ satisfying (26). Take a subsequence n_l such that $\nu \in \kappa(D_{n_l} - D'_{n_l})$, $l = 1, 2, \dots$. Omit the first indices. Without loss of generality it can be assumed that there exist $y^l \in D_l$, $y'^l \in D'_l$, and $s_l > 0$ such that $y^l \rightarrow \tilde{y} \in \overline{D}$, $y'^l \rightarrow y' \in \overline{D}$ and $y^l = y'^l + \nu s_l$. Clearly, we have $\tilde{y} = y' + \nu s$, $s \geq 0$.

Further, since $f(x + iy') \not\equiv 0$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}^p$, we can take $x^0 \in \mathbb{R}^p$ such that $f(x^0 + iy') \neq 0$. Hence the function $g(w) = f(x^0 + \nu w + iy')$, analytic in a neighborhood of set $\{w = u + iv : 0 \leq v \leq s, u \in \mathbb{R}\}$, is not identically zero. Therefore $g(u_0 + iv) \neq 0$ for some $u_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and all $v \in [0, s]$. Consequently, the function $f(x_0 + \nu u_0 + iy)$ does not vanish on the segment $[y', \tilde{y}] \in \overline{D}$ (if $s = 0$, then this segment is a point and we can take $u_0 = 0$).

Take a convex domain D' , with $[y', \tilde{y}] \subset D' \subset \subset \Omega$ such that (19) is true for this domain with $x^0 + \nu u_0$ instead of x^0 . The domain D' intersects the domains D_l , D'_l for l large enough. Now we can apply (9) and (10) to the domains $D_l \cap D'$, $D'_l \cap D'$ and the vector ν . We have

$$c(D_l) - c(D'_l) = \sum_{j=1}^{k'} r'_j \lambda^j, \quad (r'_1, \dots, r'_{k'}) = r'(D_l, D'_l) \in \mathbb{Q}^{k'} \quad (47)$$

and

$$\|r'(D_l, D'_l)\| \leq K' \|c(D_l) - c(D'_l)\|, \quad (48)$$

where K' is the same for all n . Pass in (47) to the previous basis $\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \dots$. Taking into account the obvious inequality

$$\|r(D_l, D'_l)\| \leq C(\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^1, \lambda^2, \dots) \|r'(D_l, D'_l)\|,$$

we obtain that (48) contradicts (46). This proves the first part of ii).

Finally note that for every component D_0 of $D \setminus A_f$ we have

$$\text{mes}_{p-1}\kappa(y - D_0) \geq \eta(D_0) > 0, \quad \forall y \in D.$$

Hence for a fixed D_0 , the constant K in (10) does not depend on D_1 . This concludes the proof of assertion ii).

As was mentioned above, for the group $G(f)$ with a basis we can take $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^k$ in (45) from this basis and, moreover, $N = 1$ in (31). It follows from (44) that the components of the vectors $r(D_0, D_1)$ are integers for all domains D_0, D_1 . Taking into account (7) and the convexity of $J_f(y)$, we obtain that the mean motions $c(D_k)$ are uniformly bounded for all components D_k of $D \setminus A_f$. Using inequality (10) with a fixed D_0 , we see that $c(D_k)$ takes only a finite number of values. Further, it follows from the convexity of J_f that $c(D_k) \neq c(D_j)$ for any distinct components. Hence there exists only a finite number of components D_k . The theorem is proved.

Remark. Actually we have proved the following result:

For a convex function $J(y)$ on a convex domain Ω to be the Jessen function of some $f \in AP(T_\Omega)$ with the spectrum in an additive countable subgroup $G \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, it is necessary that the following conditions be fulfilled:

- i) if D_0 is the domain of linearity of J and $c(D_0) = -\text{grad}J(y)$, $y \in D_0$, then $c(D_0) \in G$,
- ii) if the group G has a basis, then the number of distinct domains of linearity intersecting with any fix domain $D \subset \subset \Omega$ is finite;
- iii) in general case, for any convex domain $D \subset \subset \Omega$ there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and vectors $\lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^k \in G$ such that for any two distinct domains $D_0, D_1 \subset D$ of linearity of J

$$c(D_1) - c(D_0) = \sum_{j=1}^k r_j \lambda^j, \quad r = r(D_1, D_0) = (r_1, \dots, r_k) \in \mathbb{Q}^k; \quad (49)$$

moreover, for each $\nu \in \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m : \|y\| = 1\} \setminus \cup_{\lambda \in G} \{y : \langle y, \lambda \rangle = 0\}$ there exists a constant $K(\nu) < \infty$ with the property

$$\nu \in \{(y^1 - y^0)/\|y^1 - y^0\| : y^1 \in D_1, y^0 \in D_0\} \Rightarrow \|r(D_1, D_0)\| \leq K(\nu)\|c(D_1) - c(D_0)\|.$$

I don't know if the word "necessary" can be changed to the words "necessary and sufficient" here.

Acknowledgements. The author is very grateful to Professor A.Yu. Rashkovskii for useful discussions.

References

- [1] **H. Bohr.** Again the Kronecker Theorem. Journ. of the London Math. Soc. **9** (1934), No.33-36, p.5.

- [2] **C. Corduneanu.** Almost periodic functions. Interscience Publishers, New-York – London – Sydney – Toronto, a division of John Wiley, 1961.
- [3] **S.Yu. Favorov, A.Yu. Rashkovskii and L.I. Ronkin.** Almost periodic currents and holomorphic chains. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **327**, Serie I (1998), 302-307.
- [4] **M. Forsberg, M. Passare, A. Tsikh.** Laurent determinants and arrangement of hyperplane amoebas. Adv. Math. **151** (2000), no. 1, 45-70.
- [5] **G. Mikhalkin.** Real algebraic curves, the moment map and amoebas. [J] Ann. Math. (2) **151** (2000), no.1, 309-326.
- [6] **I. Gelfand, M. Kapranov, and A. Zelevinsky.** Discriminants, resultants and multidimensional determinants. Boston, Birkhäuser, 1994.
- [7] **M. Hall.** The theory of groups. New York, The Macmillan Company, 1959.
- [8] **L. Hörmander.** An Introduction to Complex Analysis in Several Variables. D. van Nostrand company, New Jersey, Inc. Princeton, 1966.
- [9] **B. Jessen and H. Tornehave.** Mean motion and zeros of almost-periodic functions. Acta Math. **77** (1945), 137-279.
- [10] **L.I. Ronkin.** Jessen's theorem for holomorphic almost periodic functions in tube domains. Sibirsk. Mat. Zh. **28** (1987), 199-204 (Russian).
- [11] **L.I. Ronkin.** Jessen's theorem for holomorphic almost periodic mappings. Ukrainsk. Mat. Zh. **42** (1990), 1094-1107 (Russian).
- [12] **L.I. Ronkin** Functions of Completely Regular Growth. Mathematics and its Appl., Soviet Ser., v. 81. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht Boston, 1992.
- [13] **L.I. Ronkin.** On a certain class of holomorphic almost periodic functions. Sibirskii Mat. Zh. **33** (1992), 135-141 (Russian).
- [14] **L.I. Ronkin.** Almost periodic distributions and divisors in tube domains. Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI **247** (1997), 210-236 (Russian).
- [15] **L.I. Ronkin.** On zeros of almost periodic functions generated by holomorphic functions in a multicircular domain. In: Complex Analysis in Modern Mathematics, Moscow, Fazis (2001), 243-256.
- [16] **H. Rullgaard.** Stratification des espaces de polynômes de Laurent et la structure de leurs amibes. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **331**, Serie I (2000), 355-358.

*Kharkiv National University
Department of Mechanics and Mathematics*

md. Svobody 4, Kharkiv 61077, Ukraine
e-mail: favorov@ilt.kharkov.ua